

# Policy Area Risk Register Policy Policy no. Policy no. 14 Policy version Version number 1 Policy operational date 22.01.2024 Policy review date 22.01.2026

# Risk Register Policy

### Introduction

The identified risks are grouped under 7 key risk areas.

### **Key Risk Areas**

- 1. Governance (G)
- 2. Strategic (S)
- 3. Compliance (legal & regulatory) (C)
- 4. Operational (O)
- 5. Financial (F)
- 6. Environmental or External (E)
- 7. Reputational (R)

Each risk on the register is given a brief description of the potential risk for **torc.CFRC** and the potential impact if the risk was to occur. Each risk is also assigned a Risk Owner who has oversight responsibility for monitoring the risk and the implementation/ review of the steps to be taken to mitigate the likelihood of the risk occurring or if it does occur, the impact on the organisation. The monitoring frequency is specified, and the risk owner needs to ensure that this monitoring occurs.

Each of the risks are assessed in terms of (1) likelihood of the risk occurring on a scale of 1 – 5 where 1 is very unlikely and 5 is very likely; (2) the impact for the Organisation if the risk was to happen also rated on a scale of 1 -5 and (3) the controls in place or steps to be taken to mitigate the risk. The controls are rated on a scale of 1 to 3 where 1 it is felt that the controls are very effective and 3 an assessment that the controls or steps are not very strong and/or likely to be effective in preventing the risk or the mitigating its impact if it did occur. The risk score is determined by multiplying the risk impact by the risk likelihood by the effectiveness of the controls. (Likelihood X Impact) X Controls.

The following traffic light system is used on a risk register to highlight / prioritise risk:

Risk Level	Risk Score
High	25+
Medium	13–24
Low	0–12

The Risk Committee who consists of **INSERT NAMES** oversees the preparation and regular update of the risk register, the monitoring of risks and the regular review and assessment of the Highest Risks to determine if any new or additional steps to mitigate or control the risk should be implemented. The Risk No Column can be colour coded to reflect the Risk Score, for example:

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
F1	Dependency on a limited number of income/funding sources.	Cash flow and budget impact of loss of income.	Manager	Identify major funding/income source dependencies. Implement adequate reserves policy.	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board. The review will assess:  Adequacy of reserves to sustain an income shock.  Opportunities for income diversification or to develop additional income sources.	3	4	2	24

# **Risk Register**

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
				Risk Category: Governa	ince				
G1	Board lacks relevant skills or commitment to meet its responsibilities and duties.	The Organisation becomes moribund or fails to achieve its purpose. Oversight and guidance of the organisation is inadequate. Key decisions are made that bypass the Board. Attendance at board meetings is poor and difficulties in getting	Chairperson	Conduct regular board skills audits and agree skills required. Develop and review annually a board succession plan. Provide induction for new board members. This is done for all new members. Review board training needs and provide relevant training to Board	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board. The review will assess;  Skill needs/gaps of the board.  Actions/updates needed to the succession plan.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
G2	Loss of key staff/ staff retention	a quorum for board meetings. Board sub committees meet irregularly and are not focused. Poor decision making reflected in poor service delivery and dissatisfied clients, members and funders. Resentment or apathy amongst staff.  Experience or skills lost. Operational impact of key projects and priorities. Loss of contact base and corporate knowledge.	Risk Committee/ Manager	members. Item for discussion by Risk Committee and to make recommendations to Board.  Succession planning On-going. Document systems, activities and Projects. Implement training programme ongoing. Agree notice periods and handovers. Ensure adequate terms and conditions for all staff, in line with industry norms. Ensure a vibrant and supportive working environment. Put in place effective Performance Management structures to stimulate and support excellent	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board of adequacy/effectiveness of the mitigation steps to manage/minimise this risk. The review will assess the positions considered to be most at risk and if any additional measures need to be put in place.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
				work performance and motivation.					
G3	Quality of reporting to Board (accuracy, timeliness & relevance).	Inadequate information resulting in poor quality decision making. Failure of board to fulfil its control functions. Board becomes remote and ill informed.	Chair	Assessed as part of annual board evaluation process. Positive responses from board members on quality.	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board of adequacy/effectiveness of the information provided to the board.				
				Risk Category: Strate	gic			•	
S1	The Organisation lacks an appropriate strategic direction or focus that is in tune with the evolving needs and business /operating environment.	The organisation drifts with no clear objectives, priorities or plans. Issues are addressed piecemeal with no strategic reference / context. Difficult decisions are avoided or put on the long finger. Needs of beneficiaries not fully addressed. Financial management difficulties. Loss of reputation.	Board	Develop and monitor 3-year strategic plan which sets out the key aims, objectives and targets of the Organisation. Regularly review (at least every 5 years) the Organisation's vision and constitution. Review of constitution. Develop and monitor annual operational/ business plans. Manager's report to the Board mapped against strategic aims and objectives.	Annual review of this risk by the Strategy Committee reporting to the board of adequacy/ effectiveness of the mitigation steps to manage/minimise this risk.				
S2	The Organisation does not have the flexibility or the sustainability to survive a major	Dramatic loss of income up to closure of some parts of all operations of the Organisation.	Board/ Risk Committee	Review the experience of the Covid-19 pandemic and assess what worked well and what should be done	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board of adequacy/effectiveness of the mitigation steps to manage/minimise this risk.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
	catastrophic event such as global pandemic.			differently if a similar catastrophic event were to reoccur. Review and update the Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity Plan in light of the Covid-19 experience. Build/Maintain strong reserves to provide emergency funding to keep operations going while responses to the loss of income are being developed. Maintain good relations with funders and national bodies.					
S3	Ineffective or inappropriate organisational structure.	Lack of information flow and poor decision-making procedures. Certain activities may not get Appropriate management direction and oversight. Certain activities may get too much time given their relative importance or contribution.	Manager/ Risk Committee	Use organisation chart and job roles & responsibilities to provide a clear understanding of roles and duties. Develop a scheme of delegated authority to Manager. Delegation and monitoring should be consistent with good practice. Conduct regular reviews of the	Review of the risk by the Strategy Committee at least once every 3 years as part of the strategic development process reporting to the board of adequacy/ effectiveness of the mitigation steps to manage/ minimise this risk.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
C1	Compliance with legislation and regulations appropriate to the activities, size and structure of the charity.	Remoteness of senior managers /staff from operational activities. Uncertainly or lack of clarity as to roles and duties.  Decisions made at an inappropriate level of excessive bureaucracy. Decision bottlenecks due to too many decisions being taken by one or two individuals. Uneven workloads.  Fines, penalties or censure from licensing or activity regulators. Loss of registration to undertake a particular activity.  Employee or beneficiary take action for negligence. Suffer damage to our reputation.	Risk Cat Manager/ Risk Committee	organisation structure, and the allocation of responsibilities and time.  egory: Compliance (Legal ldentify key legal and regulatory requirements that apply to the Organisation. Manager submits a compliance report annual to the board. Allocate responsibility for key compliance procedures. Put in place a process for compliance monitoring and reporting to the board overseen by the Risk Committee.	I or Regulatory)  Manager to submit a legal & regulatory compliance report to the board annually.  Risk Committee to regularly review and assess the risk register & mitigation steps to report to the board.  All compliance reports/ concerns received from the CRA, funders or regulators to be brought to the attention of the board.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
C2	Regulatory and funder reporting	Regulatory action taken against the	Manager	Maintenance and regular review of the organisation's risk register overseen by the Risk Committee. Prepare for compliance visits. Review compliance reports /concerns from regulators, inspectors, auditors and staff when received take appropriate action to address issues/ concerns.  Review and agree compliance	Manager to confirm to the board annually that all Regulatory				
	requirements are not adequately met.	organisation. Suffer damage to our reputation. Negative impact on future funding.		procedures and allocation of staff responsibilities.	and funder reporting requirements have been met.  All compliance reports/ concerns received from the CRA, and any other funders or regulators to be brought to the attention of the board.				
01	Inadequate	Computer systems	Manager/	Risk Category: Operati Review and update	Annual review of the risk and				
	Disaster Recovery & Business Continuity Planning.	failures or loss of data.  Destruction of property, equipment, records through fire, floods or similar damage.	Risk Committee	the Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity Plan in light of the covid-19 experience. Review & update the IT back-up & recovery plan.	assessment of the mitigation steps by the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
				Implement and periodically test the data back-up					
				procedures and security measures. Review insurance cover at least once					
				every 3 years. Review/update disaster recovery					
02	Poor Health &	Staff injury.	Manager/	plan at least once every 3 years. Comply with the law	Annual review of the risk and				
	Safety	Service liability Ability to operate all or some of our services curtailed or	Risk Committee	and regulations. Get our external safety advisors to review and update	assessment of the mitigation steps by the Risk Committee reporting to the board				
		suspended. Injury to service user, staff, visitors and the public.		our safety plan. Train staff and safety officer. Put in place monitoring and					
				reporting procedures.					
O3	Poor staff performance, morale or attitude.	Employment disputes. High staff turnover rates. Health & Safety issues. Claims for injury, stress, harassment, unfair dismissal Equal opportunity &	Manager/ Risk Committee	Review regularly the effectiveness and quality of our recruitment process. Ensure that all new staff receive a structured induction training. Adhere to the organisation's	Annual review of the risk and assessment of the mitigation steps by the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				
		diversity issues. Adequacy of staff training. Low morale.		policies for checking references, job descriptions, contracts of employment,					

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
		Abuse of vulnerable staff or clients.		appraisals & feedback procedures. Create a positive working environment and culture where staff feel safe in raising concerns. Implement job training and development. Assess regularly the on-going training needs of staff. Implement health & safety training and monitoring. Communicate the organisation's protected disclosure Whistleblowing Policy.					
				Risk Category: Financ					
F1	Dependency on a limited number of income/funding sources.	Cash flow and budget impact of loss of income source.	Manager/ Risk Committee	Identify major funding/income source dependencies. Implement adequate reserves policy.	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board. The review will assess;  Adequacy of reserves to sustain an income shock.  Opportunities for income diversification or to develop additional income sources.				
F2	Danger of fraud or error.	Financial loss. Reputational risk. Loss of staff morale. Regulatory action.	Finance Manager	Review financial control procedures. Segregate duties. Set & review authorisation limits.	Risk monitored by the Audit & Finance Committee reporting to the board. The monitoring will include following review and				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
		Impact on existing and future funding.		Review security of Assets Identify insurable risks	assessment of the following areas: (undertaken at least once every 3 years)  Adherence to and adequacy of financial control procedures.  Confirmation that key risk duties are segregated.  Adherence to and adequacy of the set authorisation limits.  Adequacy of the insurable risks cover.				
F3	Cyber breach.	Loss of funds (phishing). Loss of important data (personal, account, passwords). Reputational damage.	Manager & Finance Manager/ Risk Committee	Identify and assess main vulnerability areas and implement appropriate control Measures.  Develop a cybersecurity guidance document for staff.  Maintain staff awareness and alertness to cyber fraud through regular reminders and communications.  Obtain and Implement prevention advice and measures from experts, insurers and financial service providers.  Keep firewall and anti-virus software up to date.	Annual review of the risk and assessment of the mitigation steps by the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
E1	Loss of statutory	Inability to provide	Risk Manager/	Advise staff working remotely of the need to adhere to our cyber risk controls and procedures. Avail of relevant training and guidance.  Category: Environmental Ensure regular	or External Annual review of the risk by				
	funding. Lack of available staff/ skills in the sector or a limitation on available funds or staffing and as a result we are unable to fully meet the needs of our service users.	services.	Risk Committee	contact and briefings to major funders. Report fully on projects. Meet funders' terms and conditions. Ensure maintenance of existing good relationships with all stakeholders.	the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				
E2	Competition from similar not-for-profit and for profit organisations providing similar services and supports to us.	Loss of income. Reduced public profile. Profitability of trading activity – services run at a loss or require subsidisation from other activities/	Manager/ Risk Committee	Monitor and assess performance and quality of our services. Enhance and innovate. Review market assessments and methods of service delivery. Ensure regular contact with funders and service users. Monitor public awareness and profile.	Annual review of the risk by the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
110	1 Otolitiai Mon	Totolida impaot				(1.0)	(10)	(1.0)	rating
				Strategic selection of					
				markets segments					
				that we wish to target					
				and serve.					
				Explore, assess and					
				regularly review					
				opportunities for					
				collaboration,					
				partnership, joint ventures or mergers.					
				Risk Category: Reputat	ional				
R1	Adverse publicity	Loss of funder	Manager/	Monitor complaints	Annual review of the risk by				
	generated by the	confidence or	Risk Committee	received (both	the Risk Committee reporting				
	organisation.	funding.		internal and external).	to the board.				
	- · g-····	Loss of influence.		Agree and regularly					
		Impact on staff		review a crisis.					
		morale.		Management					
		Loss of confidence		strategy for handling					
		by service users.		adverse publicity					
				including					
				consistency of key					
				messages and					
				nominated					
DO	Danasanias	Overtone and Demonstration and	N4/	spokesperson.	Assessed assistant of the arial character				
R2	Poor service	Customer/Beneficiary	Manager/	Agree quality control procedures.	Annual review of the risk by				
	provision leading to poor customer	Complaints. Loss of fee income.	Risk Committee	Monitor complaints	the Risk Committee reporting to the board.				
	satisfaction.	Loss of new		and service user	to the board.				
	Sausiaction.	business.		feedback.					
		Suffer damage to our		Enhance and					
		Reputation.		innovate services					
		Reputation.		and systems.					
				Conduct regular					
				Customer/client					
				service satisfaction					
				surveys.					
R3	Changes to	Availability of	Manager/	Monitor proposed	Annual review of the risk by				
	Government	contract and grant	Risk Committee	legal and regulatory	the Risk Committee reporting				
	policy that have	funding.			to the board.				

Risk No	Description of Potential Risk	Description of Potential Impact	Risk Owner	Steps to Mitigate	Monitoring Frequency	Likelihood (1-5)	Impact (1-5)	Controls (1-3)	Risk Rating
	an adverse impact on the organisation or the wider sector.	Impact of general legislation or regulation on activities undertaken by the organisation.  Role of the C&V sector, FRC Programme undermined/ unvalued.		changes (e.g., Charities Act). Participate in relevant umbrella /membership bodies.  Lobby government in relation to relevant issues that impact on the sector/FRC programme.					

### **List of High Rated Risks**

Risk No	Copy risk from above.
Risk No	Copy risk from above.

## The matrix for assessing impact, likelihood, and effectiveness of existing controls.

Each risk is scored in terms of:

- \* likelihood i.e., the probability of future occurrence, how likely the risk it is that the risk will occur and how frequently it has occurred in the past.
- \* impact i.e., the impact on the organisation and external stakeholders if the risk occurs.
- \* effectiveness of existing controls i.e., given the controls which are currently in place, how effective are they at mitigating the risk.

A scale of 1 to 5 is used for Likelihood and Impact, and 1 to 3 is used for the effectiveness of existing Controls, according to the following matrix:

Likelihood	Impact	Controls		
Scale of 1 - 5	Scale of 1 – 5	Scale of 1 - 3		
1= Rarely, if ever	1 = No significant impact	1 = Controls highly effective		
2= Possible	2 = Minor impact	2= Controls effective, but could be improved		
3= Likely	3 = Significant but containable impact	3 = No controls / controls are ineffective		
4= Very Likely	4 = High impact			
5= Unavoidable / already occurring	5 = Extremely detrimental impact			

The risk score is determined by multiplying the risk impact by the risk likelihood by the effectiveness of the controls.